NYC Law 3 135 Environ

2127883824



MEMO ENDORSED

THE CITY OF NEW YORK
LAW DEPARTMENT

100 CHURCH STREET NEW YORK, NY 10007 JAMES MIRRO

al Assistant Corporation Counsel

Special Assistant Corporation Counsel phone (212) 788-8026 fax (212) 788-9776

BYFAX

MICHAEL A. CARDOZO

Corporation Counsel

The Honorable James C. Francis IV United States Magistrate Judge Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse 500 Pearl Street - Room 1960 New York, New York 10007-1312

December:	21, 2006
USDC SDNY	
DOCUMENT	
ELECTRONICALLY FILED]
DOC #:	İ
DATE FILED: 12/22/06	
	I

04 CIV 7922 LEAD CASE

Re:

Coburn, et al. v. The City of New York, et al. (05 CV 7623 (KMK) (JCF))

Phillips, et al. v. The City of New York, et al. (05 CV 7624 (KMK) (JCF))

Sloan, et al. v. The City of New York, et al. (05 CV 7668 (KMK) (JCF))

Galitzer, et al. v. The City of New York, et al. (05 CV 7669 (KMK) (JCF))

Bastidas, et al. v. The City of New York, et al. (05 CV 7670 (KMK) (JCF))

Carney, et al. v. The City of New York, et al. (05 CV 7672 (KMK) (JCF))

Sikelianos, et al. v. The City of New York, et al. (05 CV 7673 (KMK) (JCF))

Dear Judge Francis:

As we discussed briefly at the last status conference, plaintiffs' counsel in various cases (those captioned above and others) have noticed approximately 42 depositions of police officers since the Court's entry of Discovery Order #2. Since that time, defendants have proposed dates for the deposition of all of those officers. Defendants have proposed the depositions of multiple officers every week between now and the end of April (including multiple days for the depositions of chiefs) after taking into account the availability of counsel on both sides. After a good bit of back and forth between the parties, plaintiffs' counsel have confirmed most of the proposed dates for deposition (see Exhibit "A" attached hereto).

Plaintiffs' counsel in the above cases, however, are reluctant to agree to depositions on dates beyond the date currently set for the close of discovery in those cases. In those cases, discovery currently is set to close on April 1. Given the large number of depositions to be completed in those cases, and the difficulty of coordinating them given the number of interested counsel, defendants respectfully request permission to schedule the remaining deponents beyond April 1. This additional time will ease the burdens on all parties involved

3 /5

(including other plaintiffs' counsel who may be interested in attending these numerous depositions).

I have consulted with Mr. Rothman earlier this afternoon and he has no objection to this request. If this meets with your approval, would you please "so order" it? Thank you.

Very truly yours,

James Mirro

cc: Jeffrey A. Rothman, Esq. (by fax)

Application granted with the sure mot understanding that this in not understanding that this in not discovery deadline and does not discovery deadline and does not affect any other deadlines in the scheduling order.

James C. Francis IX